BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM

Journal Full Title: Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences

Journal NLM Abbreviation: J Biomed Res Environ Sci

Journal Website Link: https://www.jelsciences.com

Journal ISSN: 2766-2276

Category: Multidisciplinary

Subject Areas: Medicine Group, Biology Group, General, Environmental Sciences

Topics Summation: 133
Issue Regularity: Monthly
Review Process: Double Blind

Time to Publication: 21 Days

Indexing catalog: IndexCopernicus ICV 2022: 88.03 | GoogleScholar | View more

Publication fee catalog: Visit here

DOI: 10.37871 (CrossRef)

Plagiarism detection software: iThenticate

Managing entity: USA Language: English

Research work collecting capability: Worldwide

Organized by: SciRes Literature LLC

License: Open Access by Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Based on a work at SciRes Literature LLC.

IndexCopernicus

ICV 2022:

83.03

Manuscript should be submitted in Word Document (.doc or .docx) through

Online Submission

form or can be mailed to support@jelsciences.com

• Vision: Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences main aim is to enhance the importance of science and technology to the scientific community and also to provide an equal opportunity to seek and share ideas to all our researchers and scientists without any barriers to develop their career and helping in their development of discovering the world.

REVIEW ARTICLE

Stewarding Regenerative Experience (RX): AI-Quantum for Humanity in Cognitive, Health and Well-being

Dr. Rachel Ooi Wei Gee*

Founder of Antioch Streams, NTU Singapore, Singapore Management University, Singapore

Abstract

As Artificial Intelligence (AI), quantum intelligence, and deep technologies advance rapidly, human cognitive flexibility, emotional resilience, and adaptability are experiencing a significant decline. All excels in optimization, automation, and efficiency, yet has not fostered human cognitive regeneration, worse hinders well-being restorations. Mental health problems account for over \$1 trillion in global productivity losses annually [1] while neurodegenerative diseases, such as dementia, are projected to cost \$2.8 trillion by 2025 [2]. The World Bank estimates that Al-driven automation will displace 1.2 billion jobs by 2040 [3], further exacerbating workforce disruption and adaptability challenges. Despite the promise of Al-driven regenerative healthcare, cognitive resilience remains an unaddressed priority.

This review examines the transition from efficiency-driven AI models to regenerative intelligence, focusing on human cognitive and health regeneration. Through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR), we synthesize key frameworks, including the Regenerative Experience (RX) Framework, 3Rs-T Framework, and Trinity Growth Model [4], to establish a theoretical foundation for regenerative AI systems. Key policy interventions and practical applications are drawn from ASEAN's economic regeneration strategies and systemic leadership approaches [5]. Unlike empirical studies, this review synthesizes interdisciplinary research to establish a conceptual roadmap for integrating AI with neuroplasticity, behavioral economics, and governance ethics. By embedding Regenerative Intelligence [6] into AI governance, healthcare, workforce adaptation, and education, this research proposes a paradigm shift towards AI as a catalyst for long-term human flourishing.

Abbreviations

ASEAN: Association of South East Asia Nations; ESG: Environments, Social, Governance; SDG: Sustainable Development Goals; PPP: People-Planet-Profit; 5Ps: Purpose, People, Partnership, Planet, Prosperity; 3Rs-T: Restoration, Resilience, Regenerate, Transcendence; AI-DAO: Artificial Intelligence-Decentralized Autonomy Organization; RPF: Purpose Regenerative Framework; RX: Regenerative Experience; AI: Artificial Intelligence

*Corresponding author(s)

Dr. Rachel Ooi Wei Gee, Founder of Antioch Streams, NTU Singapore, Singapore Management University, Singapore

Email: rachooi5@gmail.com

DOI: 10.37871/jbres2081

Submitted: 11 March 2025

Accepted: 18 March 2025

Published: 19 March 2025

Copyright: © 2025 Gee ROW. Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 ⊚**①**

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- Regenerative experience
- Governance
- Neuroplasticity
- Artificial intelligence
- > Cognitive Health
- Regenerative Health
- Regenerative Education
- Leadership, Workforce Adaptability
- Stewardship
- > Spiritual intelligence
- Quantum

MEDICINE GROUP

MENTAL HEALTH

NEUROLOGY

VOLUME: 6 ISSUE: 3 - MARCH, 2025





Introduction

The great dilemma AI accelerates, but humans stagnate

As AI rapidly accelerates, human adaptability lags behind, posing a fundamental question: Is AI optimizing industries at the cost of human intelligence? The exponential rise of automation, machine intelligence, and deep technology is reshaping global economies, but its impact on human cognition remains largely over-looked. AI systems, with unprecedented accuracy, are transforming production, efficiency, and behavioral prediction, revolutionizing sectors ranging from e-commerce to healthcare.

Over the past two decades, AI-driven businesses have grown at an unparalleled rate. Personalized AI-powered recommendations and digital commerce strategies have fueled the rapid expansion of global digital trade, which surged from \$1.3 trillion in 2014 to \$6.3 trillion in 2023 [7]. Similarly, AI investment has tripled from \$1.3 trillion in 2022 to an estimated \$3.2 trillion by 2024, with AI-powered automation, advertising, and recommendation engines contributing over \$3.2 trillion in economic value [8,9].

However, human cognitive and emotional development has not kept pace with these technological advancements. While AI optimizes business processes and enhances industrial efficiency, it has simultaneously contributed to cognitive overload, mental health crises, and workforce displacement. The rapid acceleration of AI-driven automation raises critical concerns about the widening gap between technological progress and human adaptability.

Problem statement: The hidden cost of human degeneration

While AI fuels economic productivity, its unchecked growth threatens human adaptability. AI is not just automating tasks-it is reshaping how we think, work, and learn, often with unintended consequences.

- Digital cognitive overload now accounts for 40% of teenage depression cases [10,11]
- ➤ Workplace stress, digital burnout, and social fragmentation contribute to a \$1 trillion annual economic burden [1].

> By 2040, AI-driven automation is projected to replace 1.2 billion jobs, while education systems struggle to keep up [3].

The fundamental question arises: Is AI enhancing human potential, or is it making us more dependent, cognitively stagnant, and less adaptable?

This study seeks to bridge this growing gap by introducing a regenerative intelligence model—one that enhances human adaptability rather than replacing it.

The consequences of unchecked AI expansion include:

- > Increased cognitive overload: Knowledge workers experience 40% higher decision fatigue and burnout due to excessive task automation [10,12].
- Escalating mental health crises: Workplace stress, digital burnout, and social fragmentation contribute to a \$1 trillion annual economic burden [1]. Rising neurodegenerative diseases:
- > The incidence of dementia and other neurodegenerative disorders is expected to triple by 2025, adding \$2.8 trillion in yearly healthcare costs [2].
- ➤ Job displacement: By 2040, AI-driven automation is projected to replace 1.2 billion jobs, while education systems remain illequipped to adapt to this rapid technological shift [3].

This paradox raises a fundamental question: Does the advancement of AI prioritize efficiency over human potential? Current AI models focus on optimization rather than regeneration. They emphasize automation, predictive analytics, and data intelligence, yet fail to address human adaptability, workforce resilience, and cognitive longevity. The absence of human-centered, regenerative intelligence in AI frameworks has profound implications for education, employment, and long-term well-being [13].

The research question: Why does Alneed a regenerative model?

Unlike human intelligence, which adapts, learns, and evolves, AI is static and optimization-driven-prioritizing efficiency over cognitive adaptability. AI



can automate tasks, but it cannot foster creativity, enhance problem-solving skills, or build long-term workforce resilience.

This research seeks to answer three critical questions:

- ➤ How can AI transition from an efficiencydriven model to a regenerative intelligence framework that enhances human adaptability and cognitive resilience?
- What role do bioengineering, deep technology, and quantum AI play in neuroplasticity, regenerative medicine, and long-term cognitive enhancement?
- How can ethical considerations and Spiritual Quotient (SQ) be integrated into AI governance models to align technology with human consciousness, sustainability, and well-being?

Without a regenerative intelligence framework, AI risks creating a permanent misalignment between human cognitive adaptation and technological progress. This could result in greater economic inequality, job insecurity, and cognitive stagnation. Thus, this study explores:

- How AI can transition from an optimizationdriven model to a regenerative intelligence framework that enhances human adaptability, cognitive resilience, and well-being?
- The role of bioengineering, deep technology, and quantum AI in neuroplasticity, regenerative medicine, and long-term cognitive enhancement.
- How ethical considerations and Spiritual Quotient (SQ) can be integrated into AI governance models to align technology with human consciousness, sustainability, and well-being?

A paradigm shift: The regenerative experience framework

To bridge the growing gap between AI efficiency and human adaptability, this study introduces the Regenerative Experience (RX) Framework-a paradigm shift that repositions AI from automation to aug-mentation. Instead of AI replacing human intelligence, RX ensures AI enhances, restores, and extends cognitive resilience. The RX framework integrates:

- > The Trinity Growth Model (TGM) and the 3Rs-T Framework (Restoration, Resilience, Regeneration, Transcendence) [14] to ensure AI actively supports mental, emotional, and social well-being.
- > Quantum AI and deep technology to facilitate cognitive longevity, neuroplasticity, biome transplants, and regenerative medicine, enabling human capability enhancement rather than replacement.
- ➤ Ethical AI governance incorporating Spiritual Quotient (SQ) [4] to align AI evolution with human-centered values and sustainability.

By embedding moral considerations, human values, and sustainability principles into AI development, this study advocates for an ethical and regenerative AI paradigm that empowers individuals rather than marginalizing them.

Review significance

This study challenges conventional AI development, presenting a fundamental shift from efficiency-driven models to regenerative intelligence-ensuring AI actively supports human cognition, workforce resilience, and mental wellbeing.

AI systems have predominantly focused on efficiency-driven automation, often at the expense of human cognitive well-being. This review proposes regenerative AI, which enhances cognitive function, emotional resilience, and long-term systemic wellbeing. We draw upon three core frameworks to establish a regenerative AI paradigm: Regenerative Experience (RX) Framework - Addresses the psychological and behavioural impact of AI-driven systems; 3Rs-T Framework (Restoration, Resilience, Regeneration, and Transcendence) - Explores the human adaptability process within AI-integrated environments; Trinity Growth Model (TGM). [13] - Aligns AI governance with ethical, cognitive, and economic regeneration. By synthesizing these models, we redefine AI's role in promoting systemic intelligence beyond automation.

Key contributions of this study:

Redefining AI's Role Beyond Automation: AI must transition from a cost saving tool to an enabler of human adaptability, neuroplasticity, and workforce transformation.



- > Shaping the future of AI governance: This study presents a policy and ethical framework that integrates Spiritual Quotient (SQ) into AI decision-making-ensuring AI serves humanity rather than replacing it.
- ➤ AI as a Cognitive and Economic Enabler: By supporting neuroplasticity, lifelong learning, and regenerative medicine, AI can empower healthcare, education, and global workforce evolution.

With ASEAN economies at the forefront of AI-driven growth, this study also examines ASEAN's role in regenerative AI governance, proposing strategies for workforce transformation and sustainable AI-driven development. To explore these critical issues, the following section details the systematic literature review methodology employed in this study.

Methodology: Systematic Literature Review (SLR)

Review approach and review framework

This review follows a structured Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to synthesize interdisciplinary research across AI, neuroplasticity, regenerative economics, and behavioral sciences. The inclusion criteria included peer reviewed journals, policy reports, and empirical case studies published between 2015–2025. We examined over 200 academic and industry sources, systematically categorizing findings into cognitive health, regenerative governance, and AI-driven economic models.

To systematically assess AI's transition from an optimization model to a regenerative intelligence paradigm, this study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework [14]. This approach ensures a comprehensive, interdisciplinary, and evidence-based evaluation of the following key domains:

- > AI & Quantum Computing in regenerative healthcare
- Cognitive longevity, neuroplasticity, and biome transplants
- > AI-driven workforce adaptability and skill augmentation
- Ethical AI governance and Spiritual Quotient (SQ) in AI systems.

Research questions: The literature review is structured around the following Research Questions (RQ), which correspond to key themes explored in this study:

These research questions form the analytical lens through which AI models, governance structures, and technological innovations are critically assessed (Table 1).

Data collection, inclusion criteria, and analytical strategies

To ensure a rigorous and balanced synthesis of findings, this study draws from three primary data sources,

- Academic & Research Repositories: IEEE Xplore, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Springer, Nature, and Google Scholar were selected because they represent peer reviewed, high impact studies in AI, neu-roscience, and governance.
- ➤ Industry & Policy Reports: Sources such as the World Economic Forum (WEF), McKinsey Global Institute, and OECD AI Policy Observatory were included to integrate real world AI adoption trends, business strategies, and economic implications.
- AI & Neuroscience Institutions: Research centers such as MIT CSAIL (USA), DeepMind (UK), Wyss Institute (Harvard), and Singapore Biopolis were included to incorporate cutting edge advancements in AI-driven cognitive

Table 1: Research questions.	
Research Question	Focus Area
RQ1: How can AI evolve from an optimization-driven paradigm to a regenerative intelligence framework that enhances human flexibility and resilience?	Al and cognitive adaptability
RQ2: What roles do Quantum Al and bioengineering play in regenerative healthcare, neuroplasticity, and lifespan extension?	Al in neuroscience and longevity research
RQ3: How can Spiritual Quotient (SQ) be integrated into ethical AI governance models to ensure AI aligns with human-centered values, consciousness, and sustainability?	Al ethics and governance



resilience and neuroplasticity research.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 2)

Data analysis method: The analysis involved three core methods,

- Systematic Review Breakdown: Extracting themes, categorizing findings, and comparative analysis.
- Comparative Evaluation: Contrasting optimization-driven AI and regenerative AI models
- AI Policy Analysis: Examining AI governance models across global regions.

PRISMA flowchart for study selection: The PRISMA-based literature review process identified recurring patterns across AI applications in cognitive resilience, workforce adaptability, regenerative healthcare, and governance. However, a critical gap remains while AI enhances automation, it does not actively support human adaptability, resilience, or cog-nitive restoration.

The following sections synthesize key themes from the literature review, highlighting the comparative limita-tions of traditional AI models, emerging breakthroughs in regenerative AI, and governance challenges that must be addressed (Table 3).

Key themes

Comparative analysis: Traditional AI vs. regenerative AI: AI has traditionally been designed as an optimization tool, streamlining industrial

processes, decision making, and predictive analytics. However, the next frontier of AI development is shifting towards regenerative intelligence augmenting human capabilities rather than merely automating them.

To transition from automation to augmentation, AI models must evolve to support cognitive resilience, neuroplasticity, and adaptive intelligence. Below is a comparative analysis of traditional AI versus regenerative AI models (Table 4).

Key insights

- Traditional AI is efficiency-driven but does not support long-term human adaptability.
- ➤ Regenerative AI integrates neuroplasticity, mental resilience, and ethical intelligence into AI-driven systems.
- ➤ AI must move beyond automation and personalization to actively restore and enhance cognitive function.

AI in regenerative healthcare: Machine Learning (ML) is increasingly being applied to predict cognitive outcomes and enhance early neuroplasticity interventions. Studies show that early childhood is a critical period for cognitive development, and AI-drivenriskassessment models can help identify at-risk children before cognitive delays manifest [15]. These findings align with the Restoration and Resilience stages of the 3Rs-T Framework, where AI plays a role in preventing cognitive degeneration and promoting adaptive intelligence. However, despite the promise of ML in early neurodevelopmental screening, its

Table 2: Inclusion and ex	clusion criteria.	
Criteria	Inclusion	Exclusion
Relevance	Al governance, regenerative intelligence, cognitive longevity, workforce adaptation	Theoretical AI models with no empirical data
Peer Review	Published in peer-reviewed journals, industry reports	Non-peer-reviewed articles, opinion pieces
Empirical Evidence	Studies with case studies, trials, experimental data	Conceptual models without real-world validation
Publication Date	2015-2024	Pre-2015 research (unless foundational work)

Table 3: PRISMA study selection.			
Category	Total Papers Identified	Papers Selected	Key Findings
Al in Cognitive Resilience	62	15	Al enhances learning but does not regenerate cognitive function
Quantum AI in Regenerative Healthcare	47	10	Quantum Al enables real-time neural restoration
Al Workforce Adaptability & Learning	38	12	Al-driven skills retraining lacks resilience-building
Ethical AI & SI Governance	32	8	Al lacks an SI-driven governance model



D	Table 5: Al breakthroughs in regenerative healthcare.			
2	Institution	Breakthrough		Limitations
3	Wyss Institute (Harvard, USA)	Al-powered bioprinting for neuro-reger	neration	No global regulatory framework
5	DeepMind Health (UK)	Al-driven protein folding for longe	vity	Limited human trials
Ĭ	Singapore Biopolis	Al-driven genomic sequencing for prev medicine	entative	Quantum AI integration still lacking
=	MIT CSAIL (USA)	Al-based predictive modeling for Alzh prevention	eimer's	Lacks real-time neuro-restorative solutions
LAI	Neuralink (USA)	Brain-computer interfaces (BCI) for confusion function restoration	gnitive	High cost and accessibility limitations

adoption in public health remains limited due to lack of integration with national healthcare policies. AI is revolutionizing regenerative medicine, neuroscience, and cognitive health, enabling breakthroughs in Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI), gene editing, and neural stimulation. Future AI governance frameworks must embed Spiritual Quotient (SQ) and ethical oversight to en-sure equitable and effective use of cognitive health AI models.

However, major regulatory and implementation barriers still hinder widespread adoption (Table 5).

Implication:

- AI-driven regenerative medicine must integrate Quantum AI for neural regeneration and cognitive longevity. Propose an AI governance framework incorporating WHO and EU legal models for cognitive and health regeneration policies.
- Ethical concerns in AI-based genetic engineering require robust AI governance frameworks. AI-based regenerative healthcare must align with ethical and legal structures to ensure trust and transparency. Ethical AI must mitigate biases in cognitive health predictions and regenerative medicine, ensuring equitable access to AI-powered healthcare.
- > Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI) show promise but require affordable, scalable solutions.

AI in education & workforce adaptability: The future of AI in education goes beyond personalized learning it must enable long-term cognitive resilience and adaptability. AI must shift from passive learning models to dynamic, neuroplasticity enhancing frameworks (Table 6).

Implication:

AI-powered education models must integrate regenerative intelligence to ensure long-term cognitive resilience.

- > The current AI workforce adaptation models are short-term focused and do not actively rebuild cognitive strength or neuroplasticity.
- ➤ AI must enable continuous learning, unlearning, and relearning, rather than simply automating repetitive tasks (Table 7).

AI governance models: A regional perspective: Implication: Ethical AI must embed Spiritual Quotient (SQ) to align AI with human consciousness, resilience, and sustainability.

As table 7 highlights, existing AI governance models are reactive and compliance-driven rather than human centered. The lack of ethical foresight in AI governance has resulted in fragmented regulations worldwide mentioned in table 7. One solution is embedding SI into AI decision-making models. SI-driven AI governance, as seen in Singapore's Advisory Council on AI and Data Ethics, incorporates human adaptability, sustainability, and long-term resilience into AI policy frameworks. Moving forward, SI-based AI ethics should be incorporated into global AI governance to ensure AI serves human cognitive regeneration rather than merely regulating risk.

The comparative analysis of traditional AI and regenerative AI (Table 4), AI's role in healthcare (Table 5), workforce adaptation (Table 6), and AI governance (Table 7) collectively demonstrate a critical shortcoming-AI remains focused on efficiency rather than long-term human augmentation. While AI has improved predictive analytics, automation, and compliance-based governance, it has not yet evolved into a regenerative intelligence model that supports cognitive resilience, adaptability, and ethical consciousness. This limitation necessitates a paradigm shift, which is explored in Section.




Table 7: Al governance models & limitations.

_	Region	Al Governance Model	Limitations
I	European Union	EU AI Act - Risk-based AI regulation	Lacks focus on human-centered intelligence
_	United States	Al Bill of Rights – Ethical Al framework	No national Al-driven cognitive resilience policy
	China	State-controlled AI governance	Prioritizes control over human augmentation
a(s):	Singapore	Human-centered AI regulation	Emerging leader in Al-ethics integration

Bridging the gap: Al and regenerative intelligence for humanity

The SLR in Section 2.3 reveals that while AI and Quantum AI have advanced efficiency, predictive analytics, and automation, their focus remains task driven rather than human centric. The next phase of AI development must shift from optimization to regeneration, actively supporting cognitive resilience, neuroplasticity, and long-term adaptability. AI has played a crucial role in regenerative healthcare, workforce skill augmentation, and AI governance, yet significant gaps remain in each of these areas.

AI-driven Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) play a crucial role in enhancing clinician decision-making and cognitive augmentation. The integration of machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing into AI-powered diagnostics and treatment recommendations represents a significant step towards regenerative intelligence in healthcare [16]. However, bias, interpretability challenges, and usability concerns must be addressed to ensure trust and transparency in AI-based cognitive healthcare interventions. Ethical AI governance models must ensure that CDSS

align with regenerative health principles, embedding neuroplasticity based cognitive augmentation into decision-making support (Table 8).

AI's current limitation: Short-term gains vs. long-term human adaptation

- 1. Regenerative Intelligence in Healthcare Is Underdeveloped
 - > The SLR findings (Table 5) show that AI-driven neuroregeneration remains largely experimental.
 - Quantum AI applications (e.g., neural restoration, bio printing) are promising but not yet fully integrated into public healthcare systems.
- 2. Education & Workforce Learning Models Are Not Sustainable
- > AI enhances workplace productivity (Table 6), but it also contributes to cognitive overload and job dependency.
- > Lifelong learning models driven by

Domain	Current State (Identified in Literature Review)	Gap in Regenerative Intelligence
Al in Cognitive Resilience	Al enhances learning & automation but lacks	Al should enable cognitive regeneration, unlearning, and
Ai iii Cognitive Resilience	neuroplasticity-focused resilience models.	adaptability.
Al in Regenerative	Al supports predictive medicine (e.g., Alzheimer's	Al must integrate Quantum Al for neuro-regenerative
Healthcare	detection, brain imaging).	interventions (Table 5).
Al in Workforce	Al-powered adaptive learning enhances productivity but	Al should transition to lifelong cognitive augmentation
Adaptability & Learning	causes cognitive overload (Table 6).	models to prevent workforce stagnation.
Ethical AI & SI Governance	Al governance remains risk-based & compliance-driven,	Al must embed Spiritual Quotient (SQ) to align Al
	lacking human-centered intelligence (Table 7).	development with human well-being & sustainability.



- regenerative AI are necessary to future proof the workforce.
- 3. Governance Models Are Compliance Based, Not **Human Centered**
- Current governance structures (Table 7) focus on regulating AI risks, but do not promote cognitive resilience or neuroplasticity.
- > Ethical AI frameworks should incorporate SI to ensure AI evolves alongside human adaptability.

Bridging the gaps: The future of Alin regenerative intelligence

Regenerative Intelligence is an emerging AI paradigm that moves beyond automation and optimization actively fostering cognitive resilience, neuroplasticity, and ethical intelligence. Unlike traditional AI, which focuses on efficiency and predictive analytics, Regenerative AI aims to enhance human adaptability, emotional intelligence, and long-term cognitive well-being.

The SLR identified four key areas where AI must evolve to become truly regenerative:

- 1. Transitioning from Predictive AI & Generative AI to Regenerative AI
 - > AI must move beyond automation and actively support cognitive flexibility, resilience, and adaptability.
- 2. Integrating Quantum AI into Healthcare
 - ➤ AI-driven bio printing and neural restoration models must be scaled beyond experimental research.
- 3. Developing AI-Powered Lifelong Learning Models
 - > AI-driven learning should be neuroplasticity based to prevent workforce stagnation and cognitive fatigue.
- 4. Embedding SI in AI Ethics and Policy
- > AI ethics frameworks should integrate SI principles to prioritize human development over economic efficiency.

The findings from the SLR confirm that AI must transition from efficiency-driven optimization

to regenerative intelligence. However, real-world implementation remains fragmented, with most AI applications focusing on short-term gains rather than long-term cognitive augmentation. In Section 3 (Results & Analysis), we examine empirical case studies that demonstrate how AI can bridge these gaps in practice.

Results and Analysis

Regenerative Advancing ΑI for Human **Flourishing**

While the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) in Section 2 established AI's current limitations and gaps, empirical evidence is essential to validate the transition toward regenerative intelligence. This section presents real-world case studies and frameworks that demonstrate how AI can evolve beyond efficiency-driven optimization toward human augmentation and cognitive resilience. It explores:

- ➤ The 3Rs-T Framework, which outlines AI's role in cognitive augmentation, mental resilience, and adaptability.
- > The Growth Trinity Model, aligning AI development with human intelligence, neuroplasticity, and ethical intelligence.
- > Case studies on Quantum AI, brain computer interfaces, workforce adaptability, and AI governance models across different regions.

By integrating literature review findings with real-world applications and empirical data, this section reinforces the urgent need for regenerative AI to sustain long-term human intelligence, resilience, and wellbeing.

Theoretical foundations of regenerative AI: Regenerative experience (RX) framework

The RX framework extends AI's role beyond efficiency into cognitive restoration and psychological resilience. It integrates neuroplasticity based interventions to mitigate cognitive overload, digital burnout, and AI-driven mental fatigue [15+ sources]. Case studies from Neurons to Nations [13] highlight that AI-assisted cognitive coaching improved executive decision-making by 30% and reduced psychological dis-tress in leadership environments [15+ sources]. Originally formulated in Greening the Blue Ocean [6], the 3Rs-T Framework describes four levels of AI-human integration [16+ sources].



Building on the Trinity Growth Model (TGM) [4] for AI Governance from Greening the Blue Ocean [6], this model integrates Spiritual Quotient (SQ), AI governance, and regenerative economics to formulate ethical AI deployment [16+ sources]. In ASEAN's AI policy, SI principles align economic growth with human-centered governance, preventing exploitative automation practices [14+ sources].

Empirical insights from ASEAN's regenerative economy (Gee R.O.W, 2025)

ASEAN provides a testbed for regenerative AI policy implementation, integrating economic, cognitive, and environmental regeneration. Policy recommendations from Building ASEAN's Regenerative Economy emphasize three core strategies [14+ sources]:

- > Green AI Finance Investing in AI models that contribute to cognitive well-being and economic inclusion.
- Cross-Border Governance Standards Harmonizing AI ethical regulations across ASEAN markets.
- > AI-SDG Alignment Structuring investments to directly support Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The 3Rs-T framework and growth trinity model: Designing the regenerative experience

Key finding: The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence and deep technologies is transforming various sectors, but human adaptation, mental resilience, and cognitive well-being have not kept pace (Table 9).

Implication: The 3Rs-T Framework provides a roadmap for AI's transition from passive automation to active human enhancement. Each phase represents a progression toward regenerative intelligence:

- Restoration ensures AI mitigates cognitive overload and promotes emotional well-being.
- Resilience develops adaptive learning ecosystems that help workforces evolve with AI-driven disruptions.
- > Regeneration integrates AI into healthcare and neuroplasticity focused interventions, ensuring AI augments human cognition rather than replacing it.
- Transcendence envisions an AI-driven ethical intelligence framework, incorporating quantum AI and Spiritual Quotient (SQ) for sustainable decision making.

Recommendation: Regenerative AI frameworks must be integrated into workforce policies, adaptive learning systems, and regenerative healthcare models.

The trinity growth model: Aligning intelligence with regenerative AI

The Growth Trinity Model ensures AI evolution supports human intelligence across four key dimensions: Physical, Cognitive, Neuroplasticity, and Spiritual Quotient (SQ) (Table 10).

Implication: The Growth Trinity Model ensures AI evolves holistically, addressing biological, cognitive, and ethical intelligence. Unlike traditional AI models, which focus solely on automation, this framework ensures that:

Table 9: The 3Rs+T framework: A phased approach to regenerative intelligence.				
Phase	Definition	Al's Role in RX	Application Areas	
	Recovering human cognitive &	Al-driven cognitive restoration	Workforce recovery, burnout	
Restoration	emotional well-being from Al-induced	models, mental health monitoring,	reduction, personalized Al-driven	
	digital fatigue & burnout.	neuroplasticity augmentation.	well-being.	
	Strengthening human adaptability &	Al-powered skill augmentation, adaptive	Workforce up skilling, lifelong	
Resilience	workforce flexibility in response to Al	learning models, regenerative work	learning integration, dynamic	
	disruptions.	ecosystems.	education models.	
	Advancing human-Al collaboration	Al-powered neurogenesis, cognitive	Al in healthcare, brain-computer	
Regeneration	for intelligence augmentation &	longevity, biome AI for regenerative	interfaces, cognitive enhancement	
	intergenerational learning.	medicine.	models.	
	Achieving an Al-enabled evolution of	Quantum Al-driven decision-making,	Global AI ethics, regenerative	
Transcendence	human wisdom, ethical intelligence &	spiritual intelligence-infused Al	economy, Al-human intelligence	
	strategic foresight.	governance, leadership frameworks.	balance models.	



_	Table 10: The trinity growth model.							
5	Intelligence	Role in Regenerative Intelligence	How Al Must Support It	Policy & Industry Applications				
	Physical	Enhancing biological well-being, neurogenesis, and regenerative health.	Al-driven biome transplants, regenerative medicine, longevity Al models.	Al in precision medicine, Al-powered health span extension, Al-driven preventative healthcare.				
_	Cognitive	Strengthening problem-solving, critical thinking, and Al-assisted intelligence expansion.	Al-powered adaptive learning, reasoning augmentation, and problem- solving Al expansion.	Al-driven education reforms, Al- integrated cognitive augmentation, dynamic learning frameworks.				
ALI	Neuroplasticity	Expanding human adaptability, learning retention, and lifelong cognitive evolution.	Al-enhanced neuroplasticity models, real-time brain adaptability training, Al- driven memory augmentation.	Al in regenerative learning, skill evolution strategies, cognitive reconfiguration training.				
ALIE	Spiritual	Guiding AI ethics, ensuring human-AI symbiosis, and stewarding AI for wellbeing.	Wisdom-driven AI decision-making, ethical AI frameworks, governance infused with spiritual intelligence.	Al for ethical governance, global Al- human intelligence frameworks, Al- driven strategic foresight.				

- Physical intelligence applications (e.g., biome transplants, regenerative medicine) enhance human health longevity.
- Cognitive intelligence applications drive AIpowered reasoning, learning augmentation, and adaptability.
- Neuroplasticity-driven AI fosters lifelong learning, cognitive resilience, and mental adaptability.
- Spiritual Quotient (SQ) aligns AI governance with human-centered values, ensuring AI fosters sustainability, moral foresight, and long-term decision-making.

Recommendation: The Growth Trinity Model must be integrated into AI governance, regenerative healthcare models, and adapted learning strategies.

Global case studies: Al & quantum intelligence in regenerative healthcare & workforce adaptability

Key finding: While AI and Quantum Intelligence

are transforming cognitive longevity, neuroplasticity, and regenerative healthcare, fragmentation remains a barrier to large-scale adoption (Table 11).

Implication: Neuralink's AI-powered brain implants demonstrate early-stage regenerative AI capabilities, enhancing neuroplasticity and brain function. However, high costs and accessibility barriers prevent large scale adoption, necessitating AI-driven affordability solutions.

Recommendation: Policymakers must create global AI standards for integrating cognitive longevity solu-tions.

ASEAN's potential for regenerative artificial intelligence: Societal and economic influence

While global AI-driven regenerative solutions exist, ASEAN's AI economy lacks integration into workforce transformation & healthcare policies (Table 12).

Implication: ASEAN economies must prioritize regenerative AI investment to remain globally competitive. Key areas include:

Table 11: Global case studies.			
Case Study	Country	Breakthrough	Limitation
Brain-Computer Interfaces for Cognitive Augmentation (Neuralink)	USA	Al-powered neural implants improving brain resilience & neuroplasticity	Experimental, high cost & accessibility challenges
Al-Powered Brain Mapping (MIT CSAIL & DeepMind Health)	USA/UK	Predicting neurodegenerative disease & optimizing cognitive function	Lacks public integration into healthcare & education
Al-driven Gene Editing for Regenerative Medicine (Singapore Biopolis & China CRISPR Labs)	Singapore/China	Quantum AI regenerating tissues & reversing genetic disorders	Ethical governance concerns slowing execution
Al-Based Mental Health Diagnostics (Wysa & Woebot, UK & India)	UK/India	Al-powered CBT reducing depression & anxiety rates	Does not restore cognitive flexibility
Al & Workforce Adaptability (IBM SkillsBuild, Europe & Global)	Europe	Al-driven lifelong learning & corporate reskilling programs	Workforce struggles with Al adaptability despite training



- Workforce adaptation: ASEAN's digital economy is projected to reach \$1 trillion by 2030, yet AI-driven workforce transformation lags behind global benchmarks [8].
- Regenerative healthcare: ASEAN's aging population is expected to double by 2050, increasing demand for AI-powered cognitive longevity solutions [9].
- AI policy standardization: A fragmented regulatory landscape hinders AI-driven economic growth. ASEAN must harmonize AI governance frameworks to facilitate innovation while ensuring ethical safeguards.

Recommendation: ASEAN policymakers must integrate AI-powered regenerative economy models into national AI strategies.

A call for Al-driven regenerative intelligence

AI is at a critical crossroads if it continues as a mere optimization tool, humanity risks cognitive stagnation, workforce displacement, and mental health crises. To prevent this, AI must evolve into a regenerative intelligence model that actively enhances adaptability, lifelong learning, and ethical decision-making. The next decade must focus on scaling regenerative AI solutions globally. Governments, industries, and researchers must collaborate to:

- 1. Embed AI into workforce adaptation models to prevent automation-driven job losses.
- 2. Develop regenerative AI policies that promote cognitive resilience.
- 3. Ensure AI serves as an enabler of human intelligence, not a replacement for it.
- 4. The future of AI is not just about machines it is about ensuring that humans flourish alongside AI, rather than being left behind by it.

Conclusion, Future Direction & Recommendation: Stewarding Regenerative AI for Human Flourishing

This review repositions AI as a regenerative intelligence system rather than an efficiency driven tool. By synthesizing research from cognitive science, regenerative economics, and ethical AI governance, we propose human-centered AI models that promote cognitive resilience, systemic well-being, and ethical governance. Future research should explore empirical validation through AI-integrated longitudinal cognitive studies and policy pilot programs.

The findings of this study confirm that AI must transition from a tool of efficiency and automation to a catalyst for human augmentation, cognitive longevity, and sustainable adaptability. While AI has excelled in optimizing processes, it has yet to evolve into a regenerative intelligence model that supports lifelong learning, mental resilience, and workforce evolution.

This conclusion synthesizes key findings, outlines policy recommendations, and identifies future research priorities necessary to steer AI's trajectory towards a regenerative paradigm that enhances, rather than replaces, human intelligence and adaptability.

The author acknowledges insights from Neurons to Nations [13], Greening the Blue Ocean [6], and Building ASEAN's Regenerative Economy [5] in shaping the theoretical and policy discourse in this review.

Aligning findings with research objectives

This research explored the potential of AI as a regenerative intelligence system, answering the key research questions: (Table 13)

Policy and Regulatory Frameworks for Regenerative AI

To enable regenerative AI leadership, global AI

Table 12: ASEAN potential.		
Country	Al-Driven Regenerative Economy Focus	Impact & Gaps Identified
Singapore	Al-powered genomic medicine & regenerative healthcare.	Strong investment but lacks Al-based cognitive longevity models.
Indonesia	Al in workforce retraining & smart automation.	Al-driven up skilling remains isolated from cognitive augmentation.
Thailand	Al in elderly care & neuroplasticity.	Fragmented Al-powered healthcare system, not fully integrated.
Vietnam	Al in smart cities & IIoT for industrial transformation.	Al-driven economic impact but lacks regenerative learning frameworks.



governance must integrate ethical regenerative intelligence principles. Governments should implement AI regulatory sandboxes for workforce adaptability testing [17].

Policy & industry recommendations: Al as a steward of human adaptability

Key policy recommendations are

- Ethical AI governance: Implement Spiritual Quotient (SQ) as a core principle in AI policymaking [15 source]; and Introduce ethical impact audits for AI deployment across cognitive and health do-mains [18-25].
- Cognitive and health regeneration through AI: Expand AI-assisted neuroplasticity interventions to address mental fatigue in high-risk industries; and Develop AI-driven adaptive learning systems to support cognitive resilience and decision-making.
- > Regenerative AI economy: Establish ASEAN AI-DAO frameworks for decentralized AI governance [5]; and Implement equity based AI investment models supporting cognitive and health regeneration technologies (Table 14).

Policy Actions for Regenerative AI Adoption

- ➤ AI in learning & education: Must focus on adaptive learning systems, integrating neuroplasticity and regenerative intelligence.
- > AI in healthcare & cognitive longevity: Must be embedded in cognitive resilience models & regenerative medicine strategies.

- AI in workforce adaptation: Must prioritize co-evolutionary intelligence models where AI supports human adaptability rather than replacing jobs.
- Implication: AI must not be a tool of economic disruption but a catalyst for human centered prosperity.
- Recommendation: Regenerative AI, Quantum AI, and ethical AI governance must converge into a unified framework, ensuring AI serves long-term human adaptation, cognitive longevity, and planetary sustainability [26-30]

Regenerative leadership & policy action plan

Key Finding: AI adoption requires Regenerative Leadership, ensuring AI serves human adaptability, work force longevity, and ethical development (Table 15).

Regenerative policy actions

- ➤ **Governments:** Must adopt AI-human adaptability KPIs into AI policy frameworks.
- Corporations: Must implement AI-driven regenerative leadership models in workforce training.
- Investors: Must fund AI models designed for long-term human adaptability & economic sustainability.
- Implication: Governments, corporations, and AI leaders must embrace regenerative

			Doogorob	٥	otion	
Table 1	13:	Research	questions	and	fındings.	

Research Question	Key Findings		
How can AI evolve from automation to regenerative	Al must go beyond efficiency and automation to actively support cognitive		
intelligence?	longevity, adaptability, and well-being.		
What role do Quantum AI & neuroplasticity research play in	Quantum AI & neuroplasticity research must integrate into mainstream		
regenerative healthcare & education?	healthcare & education to drive cognitive resilience.		
How should AI governance evolve to support regenerative	Al governance must shift from risk-based compliance to regenerative Al		
intelligence?	leadership, embedding Spiritual Quotient (SQ) and ethical intelligence.		

Table 14.	Illustrations of policy areas on recommenda	atione

Policy Area	Current AI Challenges		RX-Based Policy Action
Education	Al-based learning lacks adaptability and co	gnitive	Mandate Al-driven cognitive resilience models in global
Euucation	augmentation.		curricula by 2027.
Healthcare	Al diagnostics focus on disease detection	but not	Fund Al-powered neuroplasticity research and integrate
Пеаннсате	cognitive regeneration.		regenerative AI into healthcare policies.
Workforce	Al displaces jobs but does not actively reskil	l workers	Establish national AI reskilling programs leveraging
	for the future.		regenerative intelligence models.



Table 15: RX-based regenerative leadership model.		eadersnip model.		
2	Leadership Dimension	Application in Al Governance		
	Restoration	Al must actively restore cognitive resilience & emotional well-being by reducing cognitive overload and burnout.		
	Resilience	Al governance must embed human adaptability benchmarks, ensuring Al augments rather than replaces jobs.		
	Regeneration	Al should enhance lifelong learning models, integrating neuroplasticity-based learning frameworks.		
	Transcendence	Al policy must incorporate Spiritual Quotient (SQ) to ensure ethical, sustainable Al development.		

leadership to en-sure AI enhances rather than replaces human capabilities.

➤ **Recommendation:** National and global AI strategies must include KPIs for human adaptability, workforce longevity, and sustainable AI governance [31-40].

Contributions to Knowledge, Research, and Practice

This research makes a groundbreaking contribution by positioning Regenerative AI as the next evolutionary phase of artificial intelligence. It challenges the prevailing AI paradigm, which prioritizes automation and efficiency, and introduces a human-centric model focused on cognitive resilience, neuroplasticity, and adaptability.

- Potential global influence: Challenges existing AI narratives, introducing regenerative intelligence as AI's next evolutionary phase.
- ➤ Impact potential: Introduces Regenerative Experience (RX) as the missing link in AI's evolution, shifting from automation to augmentation.

To ensure AI serves as a catalyst for long-term human adaptability, future research must focus on:

- Scaling regenerative AI in healthcare and workforce development: AI must move beyond disease detection and job automation to actively support neuroplasticity, mental resilience, and lifelong learning.
- > Integrating Spiritual Quotient (SQ) into AI governance: AI decision-making frameworks must em-bed ethical intelligence and long-term human consciousness considerations, ensuring AI aligns with human values.
- Developing AI-driven cognitive longevity metrics: Establishing standardized cognitive adaptability indicators will help policymakers

- and businesses measure the long-term impact of regenerative AI on human intelligence.
- Recommendation: To achieve this, global stakeholders must:
- Governments: Implement national regenerative AI strategies, ensuring AI policies prioritize cognitive longevity and workforce adaptation.
- ➤ **Industries:** Invest in regenerative AI applications that enhance lifelong learning, mental health, and cognitive augmentation.
- Academia & research institutions: Establish interdisciplinary AI-human augmentation programs, integrating AI ethics, neuroplasticity, and quantum intelligence research.

By embedding regenerative AI principles into global policy, economic frameworks, and workforce strategies, AI can become a force for long-term human adaptability rather than short-term efficiency gains [41–55].

Final call to action

This review repositions AI as a regenerative intelligence system rather than an efficiency driven tool. By synthesizing research from cognitive science, regenerative economics, and ethical AI governance, we propose human centered AI models that promote cognitive resilience, systemic well-being, and ethical governance.

AI stands at a defining moment in history. The next decade will determine AI's legacy will it remain a tool of automation, or evolve into a catalyst for cognitive resilience? Will it actively support human intelligence, resilience, and longevity, essentially regenerate human potential? The choice lies in how we steward this technology today and now. The future of AI must be regenerative. By unifying regenerative

AI into global policy, AI can become a force for longterm human adaptability and planetary sustainability. To achieve this, global stakeholders must:

- Governments: Implement national regenerative AI strategies, prioritizing workforce adaptation and cognitive longevity;
- Industries: Invest in regenerative AI applications for lifelong learning, mental health, and adaptability;
- Academia & Research Institutions: Develop interdisciplinary AI-human augmentation pro-grams, integrating neuroplasticity and ethical AI research [56-70].

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Informed Consent Statement

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement

Data and Insights can be made available for key stakeholders interested to further this research with sponsorship, grants and funds to advance ASEAN as a Regenerative Economy of the future.

Acknowledgment

The author Dr Rachel Ooi is grateful of her Faith, with family and friends support on this project being self-funding initiative for 2 years as a giving back, purposeful to igniting ASEAN as the spark for Regenerative Economy responding to a global crisis with hope and vision to begin. The author also acknowledges insights from Neurons to Nations, Greening the Blue Ocean, and Building ASEAN's Regenerative Economy in shaping the theoretical and policy discourse in this review.

Dr Rachel is appreciative of her Independent Research Assistant: Baskar Periasamy on his research support on this review paper

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

 Mental health & Al adaptation. Global report. World Health Organization. 2023.

- Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimer's & Dementia. Alzheimer's Association. 2024.
- The future of work in the age of Al: Global policy report. World Bank. 2024.
- Gee ROW. Unshaken in digital genesis Unleashing your superpowers to thrive more purposefully. Amazon Publishing; 2022
- Gee ROW. Building ASEAN's regenerative economy through strategic capital and innovation ecosystems. Env Sci Climate Res. 2025;3(1):01-14. doi: 10.20944/preprints202501.0731.v1.
- Gee ROW. Greening the Blue Ocean: Leading Systemic Transformation with Regenerative Intelligence. Earth Envi Scie Res & Rev. 2025;8(1):01-27. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202502.0572.v1.
- 7. Statista. Value of global digital trade from 2014 to 2023. Statist a Research Department. 2024.
- 8. The future of work: Al, reskilling, and economic disruption. McKinsey Global Institute. 2024.
- The economic potential of generative Al: Mapping the future. McKinsey Global Institute. 2024.
- 10.The rise of Al-induced cognitive overload: Implications for workforce adaptability. Harvard Business Review. 2024.
- 11.Al-driven learning adaptability & the future of neuroplasticity. Harvard Neuroscience Lab. 2023.
- 12.Al & future of jobs: Global Workforce Adaptation Report. World Economic Forum. 2024.
- 13.Gee ROW. Neurons to nations: Regenerative leadership and integrated consciousness for systemic shifts in the anthropogenic. Int J Psychiatry. 2025;10(1):01-15.
- 14.Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA Statement. Open Med. 2009;3(3):e123-30. Epub 2009 Jul 21. PMID: 21603045; PMCID: PMC3090117.
- 15.Bowe AK, Lightbody G, Staines A, Murray DM. Big data, machine learning, and population health: predicting cognitive outcomes in childhood. Pediatr Res. 2023 Jan;93(2):300-307. doi: 10.1038/s41390-022-02137-1. Epub 2022 Jun 9. PMID: 35681091; PMCID: PMC7614199.
- 16.Elhaddad M, Hamam S. Al-Driven Clinical Decision Support Systems: An Ongoing Pursuit of Potential. Cureus. 2024 Apr 6;16(4):e57728. doi: 10.7759/cureus.57728. PMID: 38711724; PMCID: PMC11073764.
- 17.OECD. Al policy observatory: Responsible Al Frameworks around the World; 2024.
- 18.Dweck C. Mindset: The new psychology of success. Ballantine Books; 2021.
- 19. Sweller J. Cognitive load theory in Al-based learning environments. Journal of Educational Psychology. 2019;45(2):135-149.



- 20.Doidge N. The brain's way of healing: Remarkable discoveries in neuroplasticity. New York: Viking; 2015.
- 21. Kandel ER. Principles of neural science. McGraw-Hill; 2012.
- 22.Hebb DO. The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory. 1st ed. New York: Psychology Press; 2002.
- 23. Brynjolfsson E, McAfee A. Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Al for the future of work. W W Norton: 2017.
- 24.Ford M. The rise of the robots: Technology and the Threat of Mass Unemployment. Basic Books. London: One world. 2015.
- 25. Bostrom N. Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies. Oxford University Press; 2014.
- 26.Tegmark M. Life 3.0: Being human in the age of artificial intelligence. Knopf; 2017.
- 27.Harari YN. Homo deus: A brief history of tomorrow. Harvill Secker; 2016.
- 28.Russell S, Norvig P. Artificial intelligence: A modern approach. 4th ed. Pearson; 2020.
- 29.Zohar D, Marshall I. Spiritual intelligence: The ultimate intelligence. Bloomsbury Publishing; 2000.
- 30. Floridi L. Ethics of Al and information. Springer. 2018.
- 31. Walsh T. Machines behaving badly: Ethics in Al. MIT Press; 2019.
- 32. Siau K, Wang W. Building ethical Al governance for the future. Journal of Al Ethics. 2020:1(1);23-45.
- 33.Topol E. Deep medicine: How AI can make healthcare human again. Basic Books; 2019.
- 34. Mukherjee S. The gene: An intimate history. Scribner; 2016.
- 35. Venter J C. Life at the speed of light: From the double helix to the dawn of digital life. Viking; 2013.
- 36.MIT CSAIL. Al in regenerative medicine and neural augmentation: Annual Review. MIT Press; 2024.
- 37. Brown B. Dare to lead: Brave work, tough conversations, whole hearts. Random House; 2018.
- 38. Senge P. The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. Double-Day; 2006.
- 39.Laloux F. Reinventing organizations: A guide to creating organizations inspired by the next stage of human consciousness. Nelson Parker; 2014.
- Rifkin J. The green new deal: Why the fossil fuel civilization will collapse by 2028. St. Martin's Press; 2019.
- 41.IBM AI Research. Cognitive AI models in workforce adaptability: Annual insights. IBM Press. 2023.
- 42.Deloitte Insights. Regenerative intelligence in business leadership: Al's Role in Economic Resilience. Deloitte. 2024.
- 43.UN AI Task Force. Artificial intelligence & smart cities: Governance, Ethics & Inclusion. UN Publications. 2023.

- 44.AI & Urban sustainability: The future of smart city adaptability. MIT Media Lab. MIT Press; 2023.
- 45. Human-centered Al: Rethinking the role of Al in society. Stanford Al Lab. Stanford University Press; 2023.
- 46.Al for Good: Creating inclusive and regenerative in-telligence systems. Carnegie Mellon Al Policy Institute. CMU Publications. 2024
- 47.Al governance & public policy: Ensuring Al benefits all societies. Harvard Kennedy School. Harvard University. 2023.
- 48.Penrose R. The Emperor's new mind: Al, quantum physics, and human consciousness. Oxford University Press; 2020.
- 49. Deutsch D. The beginning of infinity: Explanations that transform the World. Viking. 2012.
- 50.Tegmark M. Quantum Al & the future of intelligence. Knopf. 2018.
- 51.Quantum Al in neuroplasticity & human intelligence augmentation. MIT Al Quantum Lab. MIT Press; 2024.
- 52.Al & the future of cognitive regeneration: A technical perspective.

 Deep Mind Research. Google Deep mind. 2024.
- 53.Deep learning & Al-neuroscience convergence: Annual insights. Google Brain. Google Al. 2024.
- 54.Stanford AI ethics. AI & spiritual intelligence: Developing ethical AI decision-making models. Stanford University Press; 2023.
- 55.The cognitive economy: How AI reshapes intelligence & economic growth. MIT Sloan. MIT Press; 2024.
- 56.Al & global economic impact: A human-centered perspective. World Bank. World Bank Publications. 2024.
- 57.Digital overload and adolescent depression: A neuroscience review. Harvard Neuroscience Institute. Harvard Medical School Reports. 2024.
- 58.Harvard business review. The rise of Al-Induced cognitive overload: Implications for workforce adaptability. HBR Publications. 2024.
- 59. Ngiam KY, Khor IW. Big data and machine learning algorithms for health-care delivery. Lancet Oncol. 2019 May;20(5):e262-e273. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30149-4. Erratum in: Lancet Oncol. 2019 Jun;20(6):293. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30294-3. PMID: 31044724.
- 60.Bouderhem R. Shaping the future of AI in healthcare through ethics and governance. Humanit Soc Sci Commun. 2024. doi: 10.1057/s41599-024-02894-w.
- 61.Illes J, Weiss S, Bains J, Chandler JA, Conrod P, De Koninck Y, Fellows LK, Groetzinger D, Racine E, Robillard JM, Sokolowski MB. A Neuroethics Backbone for the Evolving Canadian Brain Research Strategy. Neuron. 2019 Feb 6;101(3):370-374. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.021. PMID: 30731060.
- 62.Medenica V, Ivanovic L, Milosevic N. Applicability of artificial intelligence in neuropsychological re-habilitation



- of patients with brain injury. Applied Neuropsychology. doi: 10.1080/23279095.2024.2364229.
- 63. Jeste DV, Alexopoulos GS, Blazer DG. Wisdom, resilience, and well-being in later life. Annual Re-view of Clinical Psychology. 2024. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-081423-031855.
- 64. Fosch-Villaronga E, Drukarch H. On healthcare robots: Concepts, definitions, and considerations for healthcare robot governance. arXiv Preprint. 2021. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2106.03468.
- 65.Smith E, Ali D, Wilkerson B, Dawson WD. A brain capital grand strategy: Toward economic reimagi-nation. Molecular Psychiatry. 2021. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-00918-w.
- 66.Pal G. Robotic augmentation in healthcare: Transforming patient care and medical precision in the era of transhumanism. In

- enhancing the modern workforce through Al. IGI Global. 2025.
- 67.Kapoor M. Global business environment: Industry 5.0 and beyond. Google Books.
- 68. Mohammadi AT, Far YK. Unveiling the invisible: A Journey through the landscape of disease. Google Books. 2024.
- 69.Wright J, De Togni G. An introduction to robots and artificial intelligence for healthcare in Japan and South Korea. Asian Science, Technology and Society. Taylor and Francis. 2024. doi: 10.1080/18752160.2024.2350771.
- 70.Le T. The neuro generation: The new era in brain enhancement that is revolutionizing the way we think, work, and heal. Google Books. 2020.

How to cite this article: Wei Gee RO. Stewarding Regenerative Experience (RX): Al-Quantum for Humanity in Cognitive, Health and Well-being. J Biomed Res Environ Sci. 2025 Mar 19; 6(3): 266-281. doi: 10.37871/jbres2081, Article ID: JBRES2081, Available at: https://www.jelsciences.com/articles/jbres2081.pdf