

BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM

Journal Full Title: Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences

Journal NLM Abbreviation: J Biomed Res Environ Sci

Journal Website Link: <https://www.jelsciences.com>

Journal ISSN: 2766-2276

Category: Multidisciplinary

Subject Areas: Medicine Group, Biology Group, General, Environmental Sciences

Topics Summation: 128

Issue Regularity: Monthly

Review Process type: Double Blind

Time to Publication: 7-14 Days

Indexing catalog: [Visit here](#)

Publication fee catalog: [Visit here](#)

DOI: 10.37871 ([CrossRef](#))

Plagiarism detection software: [iThenticate](#)

Managing entity: USA

Language: English

Research work collecting capability: Worldwide


Organized by: [SciRes Literature LLC](#)

License: Open Access by Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Based on a work at SciRes Literature LLC.

Manuscript should be submitted in Word Document (.doc or .docx) through

Online Submission

form or can be mailed to support@jelsciences.com

 **Vision:** Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences main aim is to enhance the importance of science and technology to the scientific community and also to provide an equal opportunity to seek and share ideas to all our researchers and scientists without any barriers to develop their career and helping in their development of discovering the world.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Does CA-125 have a Role in Early Diagnosis of Ovarian Malignancy in Non-Menopausal Women?

Momen Zakaria El Nadeim¹, Bahgat Korany Yassin Ahmed¹, Hanan Hosni Mowad², Nesreen Abdelfattah Abdallah Shehata^{1*} and Sara Abdallah Mohamed Salem¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt

²Department of Clinical and Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Objective: Aim of this study is to assess the role of Cancer Antigen (CA-125) in detection of ovarian malignancy in premenopausal women with ovarian mass.

Methods: This observational study was carried out in (blinded). It included 200 women who had preliminary diagnosis of adnexal mass whatever its nature. Adnexal masses were detected either clinically or by ultrasound.

Results: The study shows distribution of different pathologies of malignancy, stage of malignancy at diagnosis and benign spectrum in investigated population. The study also reveals CA-125 cutoff point 35.1 and sensitivity and specificity reached 93.1% and 92.2% respectively. Area under the Curve (AUC) was 0.998, Positive Prediction Value (PPV) was 91.7% and Negative Prediction Value (NPV) was 92.1.

Conclusion: According to our results CA-125 could be suitable as an ovarian cancer detection marker.

*Corresponding author

Nesreen Abdelfattah Abdallah Shehata,
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University,
Mohamed Hassan Street, AL SHAMLAH, Beni
-Suef Governorate post code 62511, Egypt

Tel: +20-102-415-0605

ORCID: 0000-0003-1224-3635

E-mail: nesoomar@yahoo.com

DOI: 10.37871/jbres1454

Submitted: 23 March 2022

Accepted: 21 April 2022

Published: 25 April 2022

Copyright: © 2022 El Nadeim MZ, et al.
Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0
CC BY

OPEN ACCESS

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is one of the three most common malignant tumors in the female reproductive system. It has an insidious onset with a difficult early diagnosis [1]. In approximately, 70% of all cases of ovarian cancer, the disease is not diagnosed before reaching an advanced stage [2]. The 5-year survival rate associated with ovarian cancer is < 30% [3]. Over 90% of cases of ovarian masses detected in premenopausal and ≤ 60% in postmenopausal women are benign [4]. The early diagnosis of ovarian malignant tumor becomes a key factor in improving the survival rate of patients. Tools currently in use for differentiating between low- and high-risk patients with ovarian cancer are the tumor markers like Cancer Antigen-125 (CA-125) [5].

The tumor marker CA-125 has been used for 30 years for the monitoring of ovarian cancer, diagnosis, effective evaluation, and recurrence [6]. Although clinical application of CA-125 has been extensive, its specificity as a marker of malignant tumor or early diagnosis of ovarian cancer requires reassessment [7]. In premenopausal women, the detection of CA-125 in ovarian cancer sensitivity and specificity is not ideal because of the menstrual cycle, pregnancy and other effects [8]. Moreover, as there are no definite screening tools for ovarian malignancy and many pros and cons of tumor markers regarding their sensitivity and specificity? We specify our search in this study on the CA-125 level and its role in ovarian cancer

MEDICINE GROUP

GYNECOLOGY | CANCER | WOMENS HEALTH AND CARE
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE

VOLUME: 3 ISSUE: 4 - APRIL, 2022



How to cite this article: El Nadeim MZ, Yassin Ahmed BK, Mowad HH, Abdallah Shehata NA, Mohamed Salem SA. Does CA-125 have a Role in Early Diagnosis of Ovarian Malignancy in Non-Menopausal Women?. J Biomed Res Environ Sci. 2022 Apr 25; 3(4): 393-396. doi: 10.37871/jbres1454, Article ID: JBRES1454, Available at: <https://www.jelsciences.com/articles/jbres1454.pdf>

detection due to its high sensitivity, non-invasiveness, and simplicity [3,6,7].

Thus, we are assessing in this study the role of CA-125 in detection of ovarian malignancy in premenopausal women with ovarian mass.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

An observational cohort study was carried out in (blinded). The study was conducted from 2016–2018, according to the guidelines for good clinical practice for research and declaration of Helsinki. Premenopausal women with adnexal masses participated in the study. All participants signed an informed consent form submitted for approval by the Ethical Review Board of the faculty of medicine, (blinded). The study included (200) premenopausal women who had preliminary diagnosis of an adnexal mass which was detected clinically and by ultrasound scanning.

Women were recruited from the outpatient gynecological clinic. After signing an informed consent, all participants were subjected to the following:

- **Full history taking** with special focus on patient's age, parity, present history of the adnexal mass, family or past history of adnexal masses.
- **Blood sample for CA-125:** Serum CA-125 level was determined by radioimmunoassay (MINIVEDAS CA-125 machine).
 - **VIDAS® CA 125 II™ (125) VIDAS CA 125 II** is an automated quantitative test for use on the VIDAS family instruments, for the measurement of OC 125 antigenic determinants in human serum or plasma (lithium heparin or EDTA) using the ELFA technique (Enzyme Linked Fluorescent Assay).
 - **Sample size calculation:** assuming that premenopausal women with ovarian mass attending (blinded) University Hospital was 280 and positive predictive value of CA-125 was 80.1, so the total sample was 200 women, using Epi-info at power 80% and CI 95%.
 - **Follow up:** According to local protocols in our institute, women with ovarian malignancy were followed up by complete history taking, pelvic examination, abdomen and pelvic ultrasound, CA-125 and other tumor markers blood tests, CT scan and/or MRI scan every 2 months for the first 5 years after definitive treatment and every 3 months for another 3 years.

RESULTS

The mean age of the studied cases was 37.76 ± 11.68 years. Median parity was 2 with a range of (0–4) (Table 1).

The study shows that 27% of cases had malignant tumors and while benign tumors were diagnosed in 73% of cases. Three women with benign disease developed malignancy (Table 2).

Distribution of different pathologies of malignancy is shown in table 3. Table 4 shows stage of malignancy at diagnosis while benign spectrum is shown in table 5.

The study reveals of CA-125 cutoff point 35.1 and sensitivity and specificity reached 93.1 % and 92.2 % respectively. Area under the Curve (AUC) was 0.998, Positive Prediction Value (PPV) was 91.7% and Prediction Value Negative (NPV) was 92.1 (Table 6).

Table 1: Demographic data in between the study group.

| Variable | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Age: (Years): | |
| Mean \pm SD | 37.76 \pm 11.68 |
| Age groups: | |
| 25-39 | 100 (50) |
| 40-45 | 17 (8.5) |
| 46-49 | 83 (41.5) |
| Parity: | |
| Median | 2 |
| Range | (0-4) |

Table 2: Distribution of cases according to incidence of malignancy.

| | No. (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Incidence of malignancy: | |
| Malignant | 54 (27) |
| Benign | 146 (73) |
| Benign developed malignancy | 3 (2.1) |

Table 3: Distribution of different pathologies of malignancy.

| | No. (%) |
|--|-----------|
| Histopathology of ovarian malignancy: | |
| Surface epithelial histopathology | 29 (53.7) |
| Serous | 14 (25.9) |
| Mucinous | 2 (3.7) |
| Mixed epithelial-stromal | 2 (3.7) |
| Endometrioid | 4 (7.4) |
| Clear cell | 1 (1.85) |
| Gynandroblastoma | 2 (3.7) |
| Granulosa cell tumor | 3 (2.1) |
| Benign developed malignancy | |
| Mucinous | 2 (1.36) |
| Serous | 1 (0.68) |

Table 4: Stage of malignancy at diagnosis.

| | No. (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Stage of ovarian malignancy: | |
| Stage 1 | 37 (68.5) |
| Stage 2 | 14 (25.9) |
| Stage 3 | 2 (3.7) |
| Stage 4 | 3 (5.5) |
| Benign developed malignancy | |
| Stage 1 | 3 (2.1) |

Table 5: Spectrum of benign pathologies.

| | No. (%) |
|--|-----------|
| Histopathology of ovarian benign lesions: | |
| Simple serous cystadenoma | 99 (67.8) |
| Mucinous cystadenoma | 41 (28.1) |
| Dermoid cyst | 5(3.4) |
| Functional cyst | 1(0.7) |

Table 6: Roc curve analysis of CA-125.

| Area | Cutoff | p value | Sensitivity | Specificity | PVP | PVN | 95% Confidence Interval | |
|-------|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|------|------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 0.998 | 35.1 | 0.00** | 93.1% | 92.2% | 91.7 | 92.1 | .986 | 1.000 |

DISCUSSION

Currently, CA-125 is frequently used to detect ovarian cancer before the onset of clinical signs, but CA-125 can increase in association with some physiological conditions such as pre-menopausal women and benign diseases in women suspicious of cancer. There are other negative points about CA-125 biomarker properties which are, its low sensitivity for early-stage detection, and low specificity related to ovarian cancer. High level of CA-125 in the other cancers such as endometrial, cervix, and lung cancers is reported [9].

In our study the mean age of the studied cases was 37.76 ± 11.68 years. Median parity was 2 with a range of (0-4). These results are in agreement with study by Moore et al who they reported that the mean age for premenopausal women was 39.7 years [10].

Malignant epithelial ovarian tumors account for 90% of all malignancies of the ovary and are the fourth most common cause of tumor-related death in women [11].

In our study, 27% of cases had malignant tumors while 73% of cases had benign tumors.

Van Gorp, et al. [12] investigated 389 women: 228 (58.6%) patients had benign disease and 161 (41.4%) patients had malignant disease.

According to Partheen, et al. [13], their study population (n = 374) included women with benign ovarian tumors (n = 215), borderline type tumors (n = 45), and Epithelial Ovarian Cancer (EOC; n = 114).

In current study the cutoff point of CA-125 is 35.1 and sensitivity and specificity reached 93.1% and 92.2% respectively. Our results are supported by findings reported in a meta-analysis by Ferraro et al in 2013. They found that the specificity of CA125 for detecting ovarian cancer was 78% (95% CI 76-80) [14]. To describe tumor markers and screening tests, the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) and Area under the Curve (AUC) are frequently employed since they represent a useful graphic tool for comparing biomarkers and algorithms. The ROC measures the discrimination of a test, i.e. its ability to distinguish between having disease and not having it for a given patient. In the study by Dikmen, et al. [15] the AUC for CA-125 was rather

weak (0.78), suggesting that it was probably not the ideal marker for diagnosing ovarian cancer.

Moore, et al. [16] included borderline tumors in their analysis, within this study, the examination of benign cases versus all stages of epithelial ovarian cancer and borderline tumors revealed a ROC-AUC of 0.913. Within a setting of a multicenter prospective trial with central review and monitoring it seems plausible that a diagnostic test would perform slightly better. CA 125 is higher in healthy pre-menopausal patients [17]. These slightly higher normal values influence the performance of the tumor markers concerned. Although not significant, this can also be seen in a study by Van Gorp, et al. [12], the ROC-AUC of CA125 was higher in the post-menopausal group.

Our results are supported by a multicenter clinical trial validating the performance of HE4, CA-125 that suggesting that CA125 is superior to HE4 as a biomarker to detect ovarian cancer [18].

Anton, et al. [15] reported that the sensitivity value for CA-125 detection was 83.8% with a specificity of 71.1%, whereas these values were 70.4% and 74.2%, respectively, when the tumors were classified as high-risk.

In 2011 from an analysis of patients with ovarian cancer, Chang X, et al. [19] evaluated 491 patients and obtained a sensitivity of 88% using the marker CA-125.

In contrast, according to Oranratanaphan, et al. [20], HE4 and ROMA compared to CA-125, had lower sensitivity and NPV, but higher specificity and PPV for differentiating between benign and malignant ovarian tumor. This result was consistent with that of the previous studies by Molina, et al. and Chan, et al. [21,22] were performed in 6 Asian countries including Thailand.

Furthermore, Roy [23] reported that sensitivity of CA 125 in the pre-menopausal women was 88.23% and that of the post-menopausal women was 100%. Specificity of CA 125 in the pre-menopausal women was 75.55% and that of the postmenopausal women was 88.88%. The positive predictive value in the pre-menopausal women was 57.69% and that of the post-menopausal women was 90%. The negative predictive value in the pre-menopausal women was 94.44% and that of the post-menopausal women was 100%.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, application of the CA-125 measurement for the diagnosis of ovarian cancer was found to be effective and it has good clinical application, which is useful for clinicians.

However, in 2021 a UK Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS) to investigate effect of screening in reducing deaths due to the disease. Their results revealed that long term multimodal or ultrasound screening didn't reduce deaths from ovarian and tubal cancers. There was a decrease in incidence of stages III and IV of the disease with screening than stages I and II [24].

REFERENCES

- Smith LH, Oi RH. Detection of malignant ovarian neoplasms: a review of the literature. I. Detection of the patient at risk; clinical, radiological and cytological detection. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 1984 Jun;39(6):313-28. PMID: 6374536.
- Heintz AP, Odicino F, Maisonneuve P, Quinn MA, Benedet JL, Creasman WT, Ngan HY, Pecorelli S, Beller U. Carcinoma of the fallopian tube. FIGO 26th Annual Report on the Results of Treatment in Gynecological Cancer. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2006 Nov;95 Suppl 1:S145-60. doi: 10.1016/S0020-7292(06)60032-5. PMID: 17161156.
- Lee H, Kim JW, Lee DS, Min SH. Combined Pozotinib with Manidipine Treatment Suppresses Ovarian Cancer Stem-Cell Proliferation and Stemness. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 Oct 6;21(19):7379. doi: 10.3390/ijms21197379. PMID: 33036254; PMCID: PMC7583017.
- Enakpene CA, Omigbodun AO, Goecke TW, Odukogbe AT, Beckmann MW. Preoperative evaluation and triage of women with suspicious adnexal masses using risk of malignancy index. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2009 Feb;35(1):131-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0756.2008.00869.x. PMID: 19215560.
- Karlsen MA, Sandhu N, Høgdall C, Christensen IJ, Nedergaard L, Lundvall L, Engelholm SA, Pedersen AT, Hartwell D, Lydolph M, Laursen IA, Høgdall EV. Evaluation of HE4, CA125, risk of ovarian malignancy algorithm (ROMA) and risk of malignancy index (RMI) as diagnostic tools of epithelial ovarian cancer in patients with a pelvic mass. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2012 Nov;127(2):379-83. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.07.106. Epub 2012 Jul 24. PMID: 22835718.
- Urban N, McIntosh MW, Andersen M, Karlan BY. Ovarian cancer screening. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2003 Aug;17(4):989-1005, ix. doi: 10.1016/s0889-8588(03)00063-7. PMID: 12959188.
- Nolen B, Velikokhatnaya L, Marrangoni A, De Geest K, Lomakin A, Bast RC Jr, Lokshin A. Serum biomarker panels for the discrimination of benign from malignant cases in patients with an adnexal mass. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2010 Jun;117(3):440-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.02.005. Epub 2010 Mar 24. PMID: 20334903; PMCID: PMC2873171.
- Folk JJ, Botsford M, Musa AG. Monitoring cancer antigen 125 levels in induction chemotherapy for epithelial ovarian carcinoma and predicting outcome of second-look procedure. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1995 May;57(2):178-82. doi: 10.1006/gyno.1995.1121. PMID: 7729730.
- Bashizadeh-Fakhar H, Rezaie-Tavirani M, Zali H, Faraji R, Kazem Nejad E, Aghazadeh M. The diagnostic value of serum cea, ca-125, and roma index in low-grade serous ovarian cancer. *International Journal of Cancer Management.* 2018;11(5). doi: 10.5812/ijcm.63397
- Moore RG, Miller MC, Disilvestro P, Landrum LM, Gajewski W, Ball JJ, Skates SJ. Evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of the risk of ovarian malignancy algorithm in women with a pelvic mass. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011 Aug;118(2 Pt 1):280-288. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e318224fce2. PMID: 21775843; PMCID: PMC3594110.
- Liest AL, Omran AS, Mikiver R, Rosenberg P, Uppugunduri S. RMI and

- ROMA are equally effective in discriminating between benign and malignant gynecological tumors: A prospective population-based study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2019 Jan;98(1):24-33. doi: 10.1111/aogs.13462. Epub 2018 Oct 30. PMID: 30216407.
- Van Gorp T, Cadron I, Despierre E, Daemen A, Leunen K, Amant F, Timmerman D, De Moor B, Vergote I. HE4 and CA125 as a diagnostic test in ovarian cancer: prospective validation of the Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm. *Br J Cancer.* 2011 Mar 1;104(5):863-70. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6606092. Epub 2011 Feb 8. PMID: 21304524; PMCID: PMC3048204.
 - Parthen K, Kristjansdottir B, Sundfeldt K. Evaluation of ovarian cancer biomarkers HE4 and CA-125 in women presenting with a suspicious cystic ovarian mass. *J Gynecol Oncol.* 2011 Dec;22(4):244-52. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2011.22.4.244. Epub 2011 Dec 5. PMID: 22247801; PMCID: PMC3254843.
 - Ferraro S, Braga F, Lanzoni M, Boracchi P, Biganzoli EM, Panteghini M. Serum human epididymis protein 4 vs carbohydrate antigen 125 for ovarian cancer diagnosis: a systematic review. *J Clin Pathol.* 2013 Apr;66(4):273-81. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2012-201031. Epub 2013 Feb 20. PMID: 23426716.
 - Dikmen ZG, Colak A, Dogan P, Tuncer S, Akbiyik F. Diagnostic performances of CA125, HE4, and ROMA index in ovarian cancer. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2015;36(4):457-62. PMID: 26390703.
 - Moore RG, Jabre-Raughley M, Brown AK, Robison KM, Miller MC, Allard WJ, Kurman RJ, Bast RC, Skates SJ. Comparison of a novel multiple marker assay vs the Risk of Malignancy Index for the prediction of epithelial ovarian cancer in patients with a pelvic mass. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010 Sep;203(3):228.e1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.03.043. Epub 2010 May 14. PMID: 20471625; PMCID: PMC3594101.
 - Bonfrer JM, Korse CM, Verstraeten RA, van Kamp GJ, Hart GA, Kenemans P. Clinical evaluation of the Byk LIA-mat CA125 II assay: discussion of a reference value. *Clin Chem.* 1997 Mar;43(3):491-7. PMID: 9068593.
 - Lycke M, Kristjansdottir B, Sundfeldt K. A multicenter clinical trial validating the performance of HE4, CA125, risk of ovarian malignancy algorithm and risk of malignancy index. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2018 Oct;151(1):159-165. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.08.025. Epub 2018 Aug 24. PMID: 30149898.
 - Chang X, Ye X, Dong L, Cheng H, Cheng Y, Zhu L, Liao Q, Zhao Y, Tian L, Fu T, Chen J, Cui H. Human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) as a serum tumor biomarker in patients with ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2011 Jul;21(5):852-8. doi: 10.1097/IGC.0b013e31821a3726. PMID: 21633297.
 - Oranratanaphan S, Wanishpongpan S, Termrungruanglert W, Triratanachai S. Assessment of Diagnostic Values among CA-125, RMI, HE4, and ROMA for Cancer Prediction in Women with Nonfunctional Ovarian Cysts. *Obstet Gynecol Int.* 2018 Oct 8;2018:7821574. doi: 10.1155/2018/7821574. PMID: 30402106; PMCID: PMC6196978.
 - Molina R, Escudero JM, Augé JM, Filella X, Foj L, Torné A, Lejarcegui J, Pahisa J. HE4 a novel tumour marker for ovarian cancer: comparison with CA 125 and ROMA algorithm in patients with gynaecological diseases. *Tumour Biol.* 2011 Dec;32(6):1087-95. doi: 10.1007/s13277-011-0204-3. Epub 2011 Aug 24. PMID: 21863264; PMCID: PMC3195682.
 - Chan KK, Chen CA, Nam JH, Ochiai K, Wilailak S, Choon AT, Sabaratnam S, Hebbar S, Sickan J, Schodin BA, Sumpaico WW. The use of HE4 in the prediction of ovarian cancer in Asian women with a pelvic mass. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013 Feb;128(2):239-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.09.034. Epub 2012 Oct 10. PMID: 23063998.
 - Roy LCB. Validity of the risk of malignancy index in malignant ovarian tumours. *International Journal of Scientific Research.* 2019;8(11).
 - Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Burnell M, Singh N, Ryan A, Karpinskyj C, Carline G, Taylor J, Massingham SK, Raikou M, Kalsi JK, Woolas R, Manchanda R, Arora R, Casey L, Dawney A, Dobbs S, Leeson S, Mould T, Seif MW, Sharma A, Williamson K, Liu Y, Fallowfield L, McGuire AJ, Campbell S, Skates SJ, Jacobs IJ, Parmar M. Ovarian cancer population screening and mortality after long-term follow-up in the UK Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2021 Jun 5;397(10290):2182-2193. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00731-5. Epub 2021 May 12. PMID: 33991479; PMCID: PMC8192829.

How to cite this article: El Nadeim MZ, Yassin Ahmed BK, Mowad HH, Abdallah Shehata NA, Mohamed Salem SA. Does CA-125 have a Role in Early Diagnosis of Ovarian Malignancy in Non-Menopausal Women?. *J Biomed Res Environ Sci.* 2022 Apr 25; 3(4): 393-396. doi: 10.37871/jbres1454, Article ID: JBRES1454, Available at: <https://www.jelsciences.com/articles/jbres1454.pdf>