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INTRODUCTION

Carcinoma Ex Pleomorphic Adenoma (CEPA) is a rare and aggressive epithelial tumor that arises from the malignant transformation of a benign tumor of the Salivary Glands (SG), the Pleomorphic Adenoma (PA). PA is considered the most common salivary tumor with a 5% risk of malignant transformation and its excision is recommended. CEPA is a rare tumor, corresponding to 3.6% of all salivary tumors and 11.6% of all SG carcinomas. About 18% of CEPA affect minor SG, with the palate being the most common location. The present work serves to describe a case of a CEPA of the Uvula Minor SG (UMSG).

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Carcinoma Ex Pleomorphic Adenoma (CEPA) results from the malignant transformation of a benign tumor of the Salivary Glands (SG), the Pleomorphic Adenoma (PA). PA is considered the most common salivary tumor with a 5% risk of malignant transformation and its excision is recommended. CEPA is a rare tumor, corresponding to 3.6% of all salivary tumors and 11.6% of all SG carcinomas. About 18% of CEPA affect minor SG, with the palate being the most common location. The present work serves to describe a case of a CEPA of the Uvula Minor SG (UMSG). The patient has been followed up in the ENT consultation, with no signs so far of loco-regional recurrence.

Case Report: We present a case report of a 57-year-old patient, with no relevant medical history, referred to the ENT consultation due to the appearance and progressive growth of a painless uvula lesion. The objective ENT examination showed a 15 mm ulcerative-vegetating lesion with apparent origin on the posterior face of the uvula. The lesion was biopsied and histopathological examination identified the presence of a neoplasm of the minor SG, probably NOS adenocarcinoma. The patient underwent Computed Tomography (CT) scan that showed an irregularity of the uvula, with no signs of invasion of the remaining soft palate, without other significant pharyngo-laryngeal changes. The patient underwent partial pharyngectomy and bilateral selective cervical ganglion dissection, and the histopathology of the surgical specimen confirmed that it was an invasive CEPA, the malignant component of the tumor corresponding to a NOS adenocarcinoma of the UMSG. The patient has been followed up in the ENT consultation, with no signs so far of loco-regional recurrence.

Discussion/Conclusion: In the presented case, the patient probably developed an undiagnosed PA that had become malignant over time. Given that it is a poor prognosis neoplasm, it's essential that the ENT specialists are aware of this disease, in order to facilitate and anticipate the diagnosis and treatment as much as possible.

ABBREVIATIONS

CEPA: Carcinoma Ex Pleomorphic Adenoma; CT: Computed Tomography; ENT: Ear, Nose and Throat Specialist; MSG: Minor Salivary Glands; PA: Pleomorphic Adenoma; SG: Salivary Glands; UMSG: Uvula Minor Salivary Glands
The CEPA pathogenesis is not well understood but, once that it may result from a carcinomatous transformation within a primary or recurrent PA, it seems that the accumulation of mutations on long-standing tumors may be a crucial event in CEPA development [8].

The most common clinical presentation of CEPA is the appearance of a bulky, slow-growing, painless mass, in the majority of cases in the parotid or submandibular glands [9]. CEPA can also be asymptomatic and often have similar clinical presentations as PA, once it’s not commonly invasive [10]. The late onset pain usually results from local invasion of adjacent tissues [10]. In some cases, patients may complaint of skin alterations, lymphadenopathy, dysphagia and dental pain [2,11]. Rarely, some CEPAs can carry a slow grow for over decades of years, making difficult a timely diagnosis [2]. Indeed, the disease often poses a diagnostic challenge to clinicians and pathologists, given that, although the pathological assessment is the gold standard for making the diagnosis, histopathologically the tumor comprises a wide morphological spectrum with variable proportion of the malignant component [12,13].

PA presents a 5% risk of malignant transformation that seems to be proportional to the time that the tumor remains in situ, so surgical excision of the tumor is usually recommended, which may be followed by radiotherapy [6,9]. In general, patients with CEPA have a poor prognosis but an accurate diagnosis and aggressive surgical management of the tumor may increase the survival rates [9,14] Since the CEPA clinical presentation are quite similar to a PA, it is important that clinicians maintain a high level of clinical suspicion, which can be challenging considering the rarity of this cancer.

In this study, we describe the clinical and histopathological aspects in a case of a CEPA of the uvula MSG.

**CASE REPORT**

A 57-year-old white man, with no relevant medical history, presented with a progressive growth of a painless uvula lesion. On inspection, there was a 15 mm ulcerative-vegetating lesion with apparent origin on the posterior face of the uvula (Figure 1). The remaining objective examination was normal. A malignant SG tumor was suspected and a biopsy was made. The histopathological exam showed a mucosa involved by malignant epithelial neoplasia with glandular differentiation, infiltrative growth pattern in nests, cords, and formation of cribiform structures and with an intermediate degree of cytological atypia (Figure 2). The immunohistochemical study was negative for androgen receptors and the proliferative index (ki67) was 70–80%. All these aspects observed favoured the diagnosis of NOS adenocarcinoma.

A preoperative contrasted CT scan was taken which showed a prominence and irregularity of the contours of the uvula, without apparent signs of invasion of the remaining soft palate. There were no other significant changes in the pharynx and larynx and the submandibular, parotid and thyroid glands had conserved morphology. It was possible to observe that there were enlarged lymph nodes in the right deep cervical chain (Figure 3).

Considering the patient’s clinical symptoms and the results of the complementary diagnostic exams, the patient underwent partial pharyngectomy and bilateral selective cervical ganglionic dissection that took place without complications (Figure 4). Tumor-free margins were confirmed by microscopic examination of intraoperative frozen sections.

The postoperative histopathological report confirmed the presence of an invasive CEPA of the minor salivary glands of the uvula, the malignant component of the tumor corresponding to NOS adenocarcinoma, with 13 mm length and 6 mm invasion depth, without lymphovascular or perineural invasion, positive for BCL2, CKAe1/AE3, CK5/6, vimentin, S100 and P63 and negative for synaptophysin,
Figure 3 Sinonasal and Oropharyngeal computed tomography images, coronal, axial and sagittal views, showing a uvula lesion, without other signs of soft palate involvement.

Figure 4 Photography of the surgical removal of lesion and uvula.

Figure 5 Faringeal mucosa (*) invaded by malignant neoplasia with infiltrative growth (H&E).

Figure 6 Higher magnification showing glandular differentiation and myxoid matrix (H&E).

Figure 7 Cytologic atypia: enlarged nuclei with prominent nucleoli, coarse chromatin and frequent mitoses (H&E).


chromogranin, Pt6, AML and CEA. There were not any ganglion metastases (Figures 5–7).

After an oncology consulting group, it was decided not to proceed with adjuvant therapy, keeping the patient under clinical surveillance, with monthly consultations in the first postoperative months and then quarterly. To date, the patient remains asymptomatic and with no signs of loco-regional or distant recurrence of the pathology (Figure 8).

DISCUSSION

Pleomorphic adenoma is the most common benign SG tumor and it’s characterized by epithelial–mesenchymal gland tissue proliferation [12]. In the rare cases that PA undergo malignant transformation, a CEPA shows up. CEPA is an uncommon aggressive malignancy and, although most cases affect the major SG, there are reported cases involving oral and oropharyngeal MSG, most of which in the palate, but other sites as the tongue, buccal mucosa and tonsil may also be affected [14].

The accurate CEPA pathogenesis is still controversial because some authors believe that these tumors are
malignant from the onset [15] and others defend that CEPA is the result of a carcinomatous transformation of a benign mixed pre-existing lesion [16]. This suggests that as the mixed tumor grows, the cells collect several transformations that may induce a carcinomatous change, justifying the fact that the risk of CEPA seems to be related with the PA duration [12].

Carcinomas arising from PA may present a wide morphological spectrum but, in most cases, CEPA is usually a high-grade adenocarcinoma or undifferentiated carcinoma that characteristically shows as histological features a capsule invasion, haemorrhage and necrosis alternating with areas with PA benign classical features [17]. Sometimes, the malignant component may be adenoid cystic carcinoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma or salivary duct carcinoma or may also be a mixture of subtypes [10]. Based on the presence and extent of invasion of the fibrous capsule, CEPA can be subdivided into non-invasive, minimally invasive and invasive subtypes, with prognosis implications [18]. The non-invasive subtype has its malignant component confined within the PA fibrous capsule, it typically marks the beginning of the PA carcinomatous transformation and it tends to behaviour like a PA [13]. The minimally invasive cases present a malignant component that penetrates <1.5 mm into extracapsular tissue and invasive CEPA is defined as an extracapsular invasion >1.5 mm by the malignant portion [1]. The importance of this classification is due to the fact that, according to LiVolsi [13], the extent of tumor infiltration beyond the capsule is the most reliable prognostic marker, in a way that patients with non-invasive or minimally invasive tumors have a low recurrence rate and usually no metastasis, whereas patients with invasive tumors have a poor prognosis, with a 23–50% recurrence rate and up to 70% distant metastases [1].

Treatment for CEPA often involves an ablative surgical procedure which may or may not be combined with concomitant neck ganglionic dissection and followed by adjuvant therapy [9]. The surgical approach varies according to the CEPA localization and grade and post-operative radiotherapy is commonly used for high grade disease, in cases of questionable resection adequacy and for lymph node and peri-neural invasion [19]. A combination of post-op radio and chemotherapy may also be an option for some patients, despite there is limited literature demonstrating the effectiveness of chemotherapy in the management of CEPA [4,19].

Features associated with an unfavourable prognosis include a high tumor grade, large size, soft tissue invasion, perineural invasion and lymph node metastases [12]. CEPA metastasizes exclusively as a carcinoma and distant metastases, with particularly affinity to lung and bone, are more common than regional ones [20].

The presented case report probably represents a case of an undiagnosed PA that may had become malignant over time. It was decided to perform a bilateral selective cervical ganglionic dissection due to the identification of cervical adenopathies on CT. Even though it was an invasive tumor, it is considered that the prognosis is good given the absence of many of the features associated with an unfavourable prognosis. Despite that, the patient must be followed up for a large period of time.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we report an unusual case of uvula minor salivary gland CEPA composed of adenocarcinoma without nodal involvement based on histopathological and immunohistochemical findings.

This type of tumor is difficult to diagnose, as the mixed tumor component is often small and overlooked, and the malignant component may be difficult to classify. As the presenting symptoms are quite similar to those presenting with benign PA, it is important that clinicians maintain a high level of clinical suspicion, which can be challenging, considering the rarity of this cancer. Improved understanding of the pathobiology of this tumor and other salivary gland tumors may lead to rationally designed targeted therapies that could improve the outcome of patients with CEPA.
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